ACOG TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM SCORING WORKSHEET

ACOG staff will review all project applications for completeness and accuracy. Staff will ensure project submissions meet the TAP project application requirements. A committee consisting of representatives from ACOG, the Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT), and other partners will further evaluate and score all project applications.

Projects will be selected based on the criteria described below. Projects will be approved by the ACOG Active Transportation Advisory Committee (ATAC), the ACOG MPO Policy Committee, and the ACOG Board of Directors before being forwarded to ODOT for project administration.

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SELF-SCORE
Regional Importance	10	
Safety & Design	15	
Barriers	10	
Connectivity	20	
Community Involvement	5	
Consistent Planning	10	
Equity & Options	10	
Project Readiness	10	
Funding	10	
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE	100	

Before filling out this form, please review the associated dashboard information for greater context https://t.ly/Ujlp on page 3 of this document.

Please fill out the following pages by selecting the appropriate answer choice, filling in each subscore, and verifying the final score. The completed Scoring Worksheet should be submitted as part of the project application. ACOG will adjust scores as necessary.

Space has been provided after each criteria question to allow for additional comments and justification to be included. Any and all additional comments and justification **must be included on this scoresheet document only**. Supplemental documentation will not be accepted or taken into consideration with regards to scoring.

FOR QUESTIONS REGARDING TAP, PLEASE CONTACT JORDAN EVANS AT JEVANS@ACOGOK.ORG OR (405) 234-2264.

1. REGIONAL IMPORTANCE

Applicants must be able to show how the region will benefit from this project, be it through increased safety, reduced congestion, improved travel time, greater connectivity, and/or economic development.

1. SUBSCORE	How will this project benefit the Central Oklahoma region as a whole? Please provide your response in the space allotted only. (10 points maximum)
1. SUBSCORE	
-	1. SUBSCORE

2. SAFETY & DESIGN

Projects must provide a safe and comfortable transportation option for bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or other non-motorized modes of transportation.

a. Projects that address high crash locations will be most competitive. Off-road and recreational facilities that divert users away from these locations will also be competitive.

Please use the TAP Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard; https://t.lv/Llile to answer the

Does the location of the project have a demonstrated history of crashes or does location divert pedestrians/bicyclists off streets with a demonstrated history of (10 points)	
Project is in or diverts from a severe regional high crash location (10 pts)	
Project is in or diverts from a moderate regional high crash location (7 pts)	
Project is in or diverts from an elevated regional high crash location (5 pts)	
Project is not in and does not divert from a regional high crash location (O pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	
Facilities should be built to promote comfort and safety for users of all ages	
Facilities should be built to promote comfort and safety for users of all ages levels. ACOG has compiled a document of suggested facility and design gu of the Regional Active Transportation Plan. Refer to ACOG's guidance here Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard: https://t.ly/Ujlp. Does the project follow ACOG design guidelines for appropriate facilities? (5 points)	idance as p or on the 1
levels. ACOG has compiled a document of suggested facility and design gu of the Regional Active Transportation Plan. Refer to ACOG's guidance here Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard: https://t.ly/Ujlp.	idance as por on the 1
levels. ACOG has compiled a document of suggested facility and design gu of the Regional Active Transportation Plan. Refer to ACOG's guidance here Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard: https://t.ly/Ujlp. Does the project follow ACOG design guidelines for appropriate facilities? (5 poi	idance as por on the 1
levels. ACOG has compiled a document of suggested facility and design gu of the Regional Active Transportation Plan. Refer to ACOG's guidance here Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard: https://t.ly/Ujlp. Does the project follow ACOG design guidelines for appropriate facilities? (5 point project follows ACOG design guidelines (5 pts)	idance as r or on the ⁻
levels. ACOG has compiled a document of suggested facility and design gu of the Regional Active Transportation Plan. Refer to ACOG's guidance here Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard: https://t.ly/Ujlp. Does the project follow ACOG design guidelines for appropriate facilities? (5 points) Project follows ACOG design guidelines (5 pts) Project does not follow ACOG design guidelines (0 pts)	idance as por on the 1

3. BARRIERS

Natural and human-made barriers can discourage active forms of transportation. To promote a connected and safe network, projects that address these barriers will be more competitive.

Please use the TAP Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard: https://t.ly/Ujlp to answer the following question:

Does the project address barriers in the existing network? (10 points maximum)	
Barrier addressed:	
Overpass or underpass addressing a natural or artificial barrier such as an interstate, railroad, or river (10 pts)	
Major arterial at-grade streets at or above 10,000 ADT (8 pts)	
Major arterial at-grade streets between 5,000 and 10,000 ADT (5 pts)	
Breaks in the street grid or disconnections between neighborhoods (3 pts)	
Project does not address a network barrier (O pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	
3. SUBSCORE	

4. CONNECTIVITY

Projects should improve overall network connectivity in the region. Projects will be most competitive if they address network gaps, connections between communities, and between neighborhoods and activity centers.

a. Competitive projects will link together existing facilities to better connect the regional active transportation network.

Does the project enhance connectivity by addressing a network limitation? (10 points)		
Project connects two or more existing facilities (10 pts)		
Project extends one existing facility to a future facility, as detailed in an adopted plan (7 pts)		
Project connects two or more future facilities, as detailed in an adopted plan (3 pts)		
Project does not connect any existing or planned facilities (0 pts)		
Explanation (if needed):		

b. An ACOG priority is to improve connections between communities within the region. Competitive projects will link adjoining communities via an active transportation network.

Does the project improve connectivity between communities? (5 points)	
Project connects to an existing or planned facility in an adjoining city/town/county (5 pts)	
Project connects to an adjoining city/town/county where no network is planned (3 pts)	
Project does not connect to an adjoining city/town/county (O pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	

C.	Competitive projects should connect neighborhoods to activity centers, thereby improving
	the facility's potential use for transportation purposes.

Please use the TAP Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard: https://t.ly/Ujlp to answer the following question.

Does the project support a regional activity center? (5 points)	
Project is wholly or partially within an Activity Center (5 pts)	
Project is wholly or partially within the 1/4 mile Activity Center buffer (3 pts)	
Project is not within an Activity Zone (O pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	
4. SUBSCORE	

5. COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

To demonstrate community support, applicants should include letters of support from local organizations, partners, and/or representatives.

Does the project have the support of the community? (5 points maximum)	
Applicant included 3 or more letters of support from community partners in promotion of the project (5 pts)	
Applicant included 1 or 2 letters of support from community partners in promotion of the project (3 pts)	
No letters of support included (0 pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	
5. SUBSCORE	

6. CONSISTENT PLANNING

Projects that have been identified through a previous planning effort will be more competitive. The project should address an issue identified in one of the following types of plans:

- Comprehensive plan
- Mobility plan
- Bicycle/pedestrian plan
- ITS plan

Note: Projects must be included in or be consistent with the ACOG Metropolitan Transportation Plan: Encompass 2045 and the Regional Active Transportation Plan to receive funding.

Is the project consistent with local comprehensive land use and/or transportation plans? (10 points maximum)	
Project is consistent with a published local active transportation plan, trails plan, or mobility plan (10 pts)	
Project is consistent with a published local comprehensive plan (7 pts)	
Project is consistent with unpublished general ideas of the community's future direction (3 pts)	
Not addressed (O pts)	
Please indicate which regional and/or local plan this project is consistent with:	
6. SUBSCORE	

7. EQUITY & OPTIONS

Projects will be most competitive if they are located near large concentrations of vulnerable populations, such as the elderly, low-income households, zero-vehicle households, and racial minority populations.

Please use the TAP Project Scoring Criteria Dashboard: https://t.ly/Ujlp to answer the following question. If the project spans two levels of E.J. concern, points will be given for the higher of the two. Projects bordering an area of E.J. concern are considered within.

Does the project increase access in an area of Environmental Justice (E.J.) concern? (10 pmaximum)	oints
Project is wholly or partially in an area of significant E.J. concern (10 pts)	
Project is wholly or partially in an area of moderate E.J. concern (5 pts)	
Project is not located in an E.J. area (O pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	
7. SUBSCORE	

8. PROJECT READINESS

b.

All projects and programs should be ready for ODOT to begin execution upon being awarded.

a. Project application must include a description of the tasks and activities to be completed as part of the project. This shows the readiness of the project and how the project will be implemented.

Has the project been conceptually designed, including clear and adequate project de (5 points)	escriptions?
Applicant includes clear and adequate project descriptions (5 pts)	
Applicant includes abbreviated and/or preliminary descriptions (3 pts)	
Applicant does not include project descriptions (O pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	
Project application should show that the applicant has control of the right-of-v to ensure timely project completion.	vay (ROW)
Does the applicant have control of the right-of-way? (5 points)	
Applicant currently has control of the ROW and ROW acquisition was completed according to the Federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Acquisition Policies Act (5 pts)	
Applicant does not have control of the ROW (0 pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	
8. SUBSCORE	1

9. FUNDING

b.

Funds are based on reimbursement and require a minimum 20 percent match of local funds.

a. Project applications that include a detailed and realistic cost estimate will prove project readiness and be more competitive.

Does the project application include a detailed and realistic cost estimate? (5 points,)
Application includes a detailed, itemized cost estimate, showing good faith effort to consider all elements of the project (5 pts)	
Application includes a summary estimate with no details (3 pts)	
Application does not include a cost estimate (0 pts)	
Explanation (if needed):	
Projects must include a maintenance plan that demonstrates applicant owners sustainable long-term care.	hip and
Does the project include a clear plan for future maintenance costs? (5 points)	
Does the project include a clear plan for future maintenance costs? (5 points) Application includes details on existing maintenance standards and how the sponsor would fund future maintenance (5 pts)	
Application includes details on existing maintenance standards and how the sponsor	
Application includes details on existing maintenance standards and how the sponsor would fund future maintenance (5 pts) Application seems to discount level of maintenance necessary and/or failed to get	
Application includes details on existing maintenance standards and how the sponsor would fund future maintenance (5 pts) Application seems to discount level of maintenance necessary and/or failed to get letter of support from entity claimed to be responsible for future maintenance (3 pts)	
Application includes details on existing maintenance standards and how the sponsor would fund future maintenance (5 pts) Application seems to discount level of maintenance necessary and/or failed to get letter of support from entity claimed to be responsible for future maintenance (3 pts) No maintenance plan is included (0 pts)	
Application includes details on existing maintenance standards and how the sponsor would fund future maintenance (5 pts) Application seems to discount level of maintenance necessary and/or failed to get letter of support from entity claimed to be responsible for future maintenance (3 pts) No maintenance plan is included (0 pts)	

FINAL SCORE